Juan V. Lopez 7906 La Nita Street Highland, California [92346] FILE ON DEMAND FOR THE RECORD

IN PRO SE

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Case No.: UDDS1203999 FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE **EMERGENCY APPLICATION** ASSOCIATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE Plaintiff, JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION: UNDER VS. FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSÚANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 473(b); POINTS AND Juan V. Lopez and Does 1-10, AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT Defendant.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE To Plaintiff(s) Herein and to Attorney of Record. ExParte motion hearing and EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR EX-PARTE TO
VACATE JUDGMENT, CANCEL AND SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION
DUE TO FRAUD, FORGERY, FRAUD UPON COURT, TAX FRAUD AND
VIOLATION OF SENIOR AND MILITARY INTEREST RIGHTS UNDER THE
LAW OF FRAUD; UNDER EVIDENCE CODE 437(d) AND UNDER NEWLY

EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT

Page 1 of 15

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

DISCOVERED FACTS THAT COURT WAS NOT MADE AWARE UNDER MISTAKE, AND SET ASIDE DEFAULT UNDER CCP 473 (b). THE NEW DISCOVERED EVIDENCE 437(d) FACTS PROVIDED BY AFFIDAVIT OF STATEMENT JURAT. THE DEFENDANT HAS PROOF OF THIS CRIME.

Ex-parte notice of this application was not given by defendant because see ANNEXED AFFIDAVIT BY SWORN JURAT PROOF OF FRAUD. On Facts that were not presented to the court. Therefore the fact is that this case is being reviewed by HUD, and the Fact is that this property was sold fraudulently, and intentionally by FRAUD to avoid tax rules, and bought for profit and not part of the REMIC; this is TAX FRAUD. The facts of this case has many procedural flaws, in Non judicial foreclosure sale, that is brought into court of summary procedures. If there would have been a case of Title, and duly perfected under 1161(b); Title recording was done by FRAUD. This is why this action is raised in this case. Through this Ex-Parte application, the Plaintiff used these fraudulent documents to hold an illegal foreclosure sale. Therefore, the case herein.

These are the facts of this fraud that Plaintiff could not have assigned or sold this property. The Defendant is asking the Court to vacate and cancel this FRAUD UPON THE COURT and issue a written order to protect this citizen from further harm. The Defendant demands that the court cancel, vacate writ of possession and

vacate fraudulent judgment. Defendant seeks to VOID, CANCEL, VACATE and DISMISS under 473 (b) because of mistake and error, that defendant has just newly discovered this FRAUD, FORGERY and Civil Rights Violation under TENANT RIGHTS, for Defendant is CARE GIVER to documented disabled tenant. This is an Ex-Parte application with evidential proof that the case before this court should be <u>CANCELLED</u> and made <u>NULL</u> and <u>VOID</u>. The attached memorandum of points and authorities, and all the papers and documents on file in this case.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

PROPER NOTICE WAS GIVEN

1	
-	
_	

BECAUSE DEFENDANT WAS SERVED WITH FRAULENT DOCUMENT, WITH FORGED SIGNATURES, THAT MAKES IT NOT PROPERLY SERVED; THE COURT HAS A DUTY TO CANCEL JURISDICTION; THE JUDGMENT MUST BE CANCELLED DUE TO FRAUD AND THE CASE SHOULD BE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE THE DEFAULT JUDMENT SHOULD BE SET ASIDE, AND DEFENDANT BE GIVEN AN EVIDENTIAL HEARING ON FRAUD, AND NOTICE TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF SHOULD NOT BE SANACTIONED FOR PERFORMING FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

California Code of Civil Procedure § 1162 states:

(a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), the notices required by Sections 1161 and 1161a may be served by any of the following methods:

(1) By delivering a copy to the tenant personally.

(2) If he or she is absent from his or her place of residence, and from his or her usual place of business, by leaving a copy with some person of suitable age and discretion at either place, and sending a copy through the mail addressed to the tenant at his or her place of residence.

(3) If such place of residence and business cannot be ascertained, or a person of suitable age or discretion there can not be found, then by affixing a copy in a conspicuous place

- on the property, and also delivering a copy to a person there residing, if such person can
- 2 be found; and also sending a copy through the mail addressed to the tenant at the place
- where the property is situated. Service upon a subtenant may be made in the same
- 4 manner.

(b) The notices required by Section 1161 may be served upon a commercial tenant by
 any of the following methods:

(1) By delivering a copy to the tenant personally.

(2) If he or she is absent from the commercial rental property, by leaving a copy with some person of suitable age and discretion at the property, and sending a copy through the mail addressed to the tenant at the address where the property is situated.

(3) If, at the time of attempted service, a person of suitable age or discretion is not found at the rental property through the exercise of reasonable diligence, then by affixing a copy in a conspicuous place on the property, and also sending a copy through the mail addressed to the tenant at the address where the property is situated. Service upon a subtenant may be made in the same manner.

2.4

An unlawful detainer action is a statutory proceeding governed by the provisions of the statute creating it. *Fifth & Broadway Partnership v. Kimny Inc, 102 Cal. App. 3d 195, 200.* In this case at bar, the Plaintiff never served the Defendant with a Three-Day Notice to Quit. Instead Plaintiff served Defendant a summons on or after August 25, 2012 by service agent.

Defendant sought assistance from Legal Counsel and gave answer to the complaint within stipulated 5 days, with many other filings with challenges and actions against EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT

Page 5 of 15

Plaintiff. Defendant now chooses to challenge the Court's jurisdiction by way of ExParte motion to VACATE JUDGMENT.

- 1. The supreme law of the land is the Constitution of the United States as ratified with the Bill of Rights 1791, pursuant to Article VI paragraph 2, "...and the Judges in every state are bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding."
- Pursuant to Article IV section 4 of this Constitution, each state of the Union, the State
 of California. Being one of those states, is faced with a guarantee that it will be
 governed by the rule of law and not of men.

3. The only jurisdiction that can hear matters of the People per the Constitution of the United States is pursuant to Article III section 2, a Jurisdiction Court bound by the Supreme Law of the Land including the Bill of Rights.

4. The Judge in this matter has sworn an Oath to support and defend the Constitutions for the United States of America, circa 1787, as amended in 1791 with the Bill of Rights, and the California State Constitution and its Bill of Rights, and to the rights of the People of the State of California, secured therein, in exchange for the public trust, per Article IV of the national Constitution and Article 16 Section 4 of the Constitution for the California State Constitution.

The Plaintiff has filed a fraudulent complaint, that the Defendant has opposed with protest and objection and denial of consent, with filed written documents and verbally spoken in court appearances, that the Plaintiff has purchased stolen property, which Plaintiff fraudulently is claiming. Defendant has given verbal and written notice of this EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

Page 6 of 15

473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT

possible **TAX** Crime and which was given to the law office. Plaintiff has no legal claim to possession of the property and that the foreclosure sale should be **NULL** and **VOID**, The Plaintiff did not purchase this property at an auction, that he could have alleged that he was or is a bonafide purchaser, and cannot take possession, which is evidence of the **FACT** that Plaintiff brought in to the court **FRAUDULENT** alleged ownership.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Notice is hereby given that this property was part of the settlement done, in the United States District Court of Columbia, Plaintiffs BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, collectively; for the sake of convenience only (Plaintiffs) violated and committed unfair and deceptive acts and practices. See case Case # 1:12-cv-00361-RMC. Served and filed herewith, all the pleadings, record and papers and document file herein, and upon evidence, oral and documentary, to be presented at the hearing of motion. Under Penal Code sections 132, Plaintiff committed fraud upon the submitting void on its face instrument, Deed upon sale, Trustee Deed of Sale, and Assignments of Deed of Trust: Both are a Nullity or Void under California Civil Code 2934a which requires that the beneficiary execute and notarize and record a substitution for a valid substitution of trustee to effect. Thus, if the assignment of Deed of Trust is Fictitiously-Signed or Robo-Signed, the sale is VOID. If the substitution of trustee is **Robo-Signed**, the sale is **VOID**. If the notice of default is **Robo-Signed**, the sale is **VOID**. Clear Title May Not Derive from a Fraud including a bonafide purchase for value by **Bank of America**, N.A., MERS by Federal National Mortgage Association as to the fraudulent filing of Trust assignment by BANK OF **AMERICA, N.A..** In the case of a fraudulent transaction California law is settled. The Court in trout v. Trout, (1934), 220 Cal.652 T 656 made as much plain: "Numerous authorities have established the rule that an instrument wholly void, such as undelivered deed, a forged instrument, or a deed in blank, cannot be made the foundation of good EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE; PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT Page 7 of 15

title, even under the equitable doctrine of bonafide purchase. Consequently, the fact that defendants archer acted in good faith in dealing with person who apparently held legal title, is not in itself sufficient basis for relief' (Emphasis added, internal Citations omitted) this sentiment was clearly echoed in 6 Angel, Inc v. Stuart-Wright Mortgage, Inc. (2001) 85 Cal. App 4 th 1279 at 1286 where the court stated: It is general rule that courts have power to vacate a foreclosure sale where there has been fraud in the procurement of the foreclosure decree or where has been a mistake that to allow it to stand would be inequitable to purchaser and parties hence, if forged Robo-Signed signatures are used to obtain in the foreclosure states any apparent sale based on **Robo**-**Signed** documents is **VOID** – without any legal effect- like Monopoly Money. In Bank of America v. La Jolla Group II., the California Court of Appeal held that if a trustee is not contractually empowered under the Deed of Trust to hold a sale, it is totally **VOID**. Void-Ness, as opposed to Void-Ability, means that it is without legal effect to begin with. Title does not transfer. No right to evict arises. The Property is not **SOLD.** In turn, California Civil Code 2934a requires that the beneficiary execute and notarize and record a substitution for a valid substitution of trustee to take effect. Thus, if the assignment of deed of trust is **Robo-Signed**, the sale is **VOID**. If the substitution of trustee is Robo-Signed, the sale is **VOID**. If the Notice of default is Robo-Signed, the sale is **VOID**. These documents are not recorded without good notarization. California un-clean hands rule requires that the plaintiff not cheat, and behave fairly. The Plaintiff must come into court with clean hands, and keep them clean, or he or she will be denied relief, regardless of the merits of the claim. Kendall-Jackson Winery LTD v Superior Court (1999) 76 CA 4th 970, 978, 90 CR 2nd 743. Whether the doctrine applies is a question of fact. Cross Talk Prods, Inc., v Jacobson (1998) 65 CA 4th 631, 639, 76 CR2d 615. Robo-Signed Documents are intended for use in California Bankruptcy One Major overlooked facet of California is our extremely active courts matters. bankruptcy court proceedings, where just as in judicial foreclosure states, the bank must EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT Page 8 of 15

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

prove "standing" to proceed with a foreclosure. If they are not signed by persons with requisite knowledge, affidavits submitted in bankruptcy court proceeding such as objection to plan and relief from stays are perjured. In the Violation of Bankruptcy rules without getting relief of stay, are often falsified evidence. Conclusion verified eviction complaints, perjured motions for summary judgment, and all other evictions paperwork after Robo-Signed non judicial foreclosure in California and others are illegal and **VOID.** The paperwork itself is **VOID.** The sale is **VOID.** But the only way to clean up hundreds of thousands of affected titles is through litigation, because even the bank will simply not do the right thing. And that's why Robo-Signers are countless in non-judicial foreclosure states; and the victims of Robo-Signers in California may seek declaratory relief, damages under the Rosenthal Act: injunction and attorney fees for Un-fair debt practices, as well as claims of slander of titles: abuse of due process, civil rights, civil theft, and conversion. Every person who enters into any trial, proceeding inquiry, or investigation fraudulently altered or anté-dated, is **guilty of felony**. The above documents are missing, Promissory note, Proper Assignment into the Trust as to the **REMIC** under **DEED UPON SALE**, the Notary did not acknowledge Power of TAX FRAUD, Attorney for Attorney – in-fact, Assistant, or secretary or whatever, un-authorized person forgery of these document that is not permitted by law. But have been used as and offers in evidence as genuine true. The Plaintiff is not holder of the note, and have no Standing to evict or foreclosure. The Plaintiff and their attorney said they perfected title on November 10, 2011. This is a clear admission of fraud and I have all necessary documents to prove that this is not an allegation but, real proof that has standing in any These documents are shown in ANNEXED A, B, C and D of Affidavit of Statement by Sworn Jurat as EVIDENCE of FRAUD. The court has certified this fact in Case No.: 12CV-361-RMC. I submit these documents as proof that these documents are in **BIG QUESTION** and the likelihood of **FRAUD** is to be and had been accepted. Therefore, I demand that the court takes this notice of related cases and clerks entry as EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF

POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

Page 9 of 15

473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

TRUTH . The FRAUD document that is the reason that the JUDGE has to VACATE			
and CANCEL WRIT due to FRAUD UPON COURT, As for many of these documents			
have been submitted in Federal Bankruptcy Court Case No.: 6:13 bk-14020-MH, and			
within the Federal Bankruptcy Court as Adversary Proceeding Complaint Action Case			
No.: 6:13 ap- 01123-MH. The Plaintiff continues the fraud upon the court with			
fraudulent document that will be VOID on its FACE. This case has civil rights			
violation that is for the District Court, Debtor has an open bankruptcy that will			
understand the TAX FRAUD that has been uncovered in the discovery of this fraudulent			
foreclosure, this court has jurisdiction over the TAX FRAUD because this is a TAX			
COURT. This case should be looked at as a BANKRUPTCY FRAUD the Attorney and			
the CREDITOR have been notified of this TAX FRAUD. This is a core proceeding as			
the claims for FRAUD in relief arise under 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330 (hereinafter "the			
Code"), including, but not limited to, 11 U.S.C. §§ 362, 101(54), 105, 522, 541, 542, 544,			
548, 550, 551, 552, and 1306. This court has a legal duty to report FRAUD and			
FORGERY to the authority that would handle these complaints.			

Dated: May 6, 2013
Respectfully Submitted,

Juan V. Lopez and Does 1-10

EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT

Page 10 of

1	
Τ	

DECLARATION OF JUAN V. LOPEZ AND DOES 1-10

3

5

6

I, **Juan V. Lopez** and Does 1-10, do hereby declare that I am over the age of 18 and if called upon as a witness, I shall testify to the following:

7

1. That, the property within this action is at **7906 La Nita Street, Highland,**

8

9

California [Postal Zone 92346]; this property and the title holder has evidence to the

facts surrounding the **FRAUD** and that this case should be **VACATED** under the rules of

11 12

FACTS FINDING of EVIDENCE.

13

14

15

2. That, no one has ever delivered to my address in person any of the evidence that the Plaintiff has bought into this **FRAUDULENT** complaint for unlawful detainer.

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3. That there was no true fact and evidence that has been attached as verification of

fact by an affidavit that this is a correct summons of complaint and the sale was

perfected. The Unlawful Detainer and Summons are FRAUD which can and will be

proved. The date of the suppose service by a process service was sought by FRAUD,

the documents that have been submitted is an instrument that are signed by verifiable

Robo-Signers that IS FRAUDULENT therefore instrument is VOID, this instrument

can be NULLIFIED and VOIDED if evidence is presented to a JUDGE, therefore the

27

1	entity seeking eviction has no standing; see case Bank of America, N.A. in the		
2	settlement agreement prior to the illegal foreclosure.		
3	4. I was forced to file bankruptcy in July 2011, to VOID the illegal foreclosure and		
5	eviction. The violation of Bankruptcy code has happen in this case, Prejudgment /		
6	Post judgment form was not accepted, see docket, this is a violation of rights under		
7	due process under the law and violation of the AUTOMATIC STAY within the		
9	forced bankruptcy to stop these illegal procedures.		
10	5. I can prove that Plaintiff is aware of this FRAUD but is still hastily moving for a		
12	default judgment and lockout by the sheriff, unless this court stay this writ and set this		
13 14	case for an evidential facts to the FRAUD, in order to abrogate my rights to due process,		
15	and only this Court can stop them; by the evidence of fraud submitted today.		
16			
17	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that all of		
18	the forgoing is true and correct.		
19			
20			
22			
23	Dated: June 6, 2013 Respectfully Submitted,		
24			
25			
26	Juan V. Lopez, Affidavit JURAT		
27	Without Recourse		

EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT

Page 12 of 15

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	AFFIDAVIT OF ANNEXED JURAT
17	as DECLARATION of FACT as EVIDENCE
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL
6	I ROOF OF SERVICE DI MAIL
7	
8	In the California Republic state, in the County of San Bernardino, in Highland
9	Township.
10	
11	I, the undersigned, herein declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a
12	party to the within entitled action.
13	
14	I hereby declare under the penalty of perjury of the California Republic state and
15	these United States of America, that I personally served the foregoing document entitled
16 17 18	EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION :UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT, by SERVICE
19	OF MAIL, with postage fully paid, and deposited in a mail box serviced by the United
20	States Postal Service, and addressed as follows:
21	States I ostal Selvice, and addressed as lonows.
22	CHRISTINA J.O., #266845
23	ERICA T. LOFTIS, #259286
24	MALCOLM & CISNEROS A LAW CORPORATION
25	2112 BUSINESS CENTER DR., SECOND FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92612
26	IKVINE, CA 72012
27	ADAM N. BARASCH, #158220
28	MARK JOSEPH KENNEY, #87345
	EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF

POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

Page 14 of

473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT

	OH. CRAM, #160004 ON & WERSON		
A Profession	onal Corporation		
	arcadero Center, Suite 2600 cisco, CA 94111		
S W			
PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL			
I declare under the ne	nalty of perjury of the Laws of the California Republic state		
I declare under the penalty of perjury of the Laws of the California Republic state and <i>these</i> United States of the America, that the foregoing is correct and complete to the			
		best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that this declaration is executed by the voluntary act of my own hand in Highland Township and is dated thisDay of the	
Fifth Month, in the Year Tw	To Thousand and Thirteen, Anno Domini, in the two-hundred		
and thirty-sixth of the Indepe	endence of America.		
	By:		
Printed Name	Autographed Name		

EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR AN EX-PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING TO VACATE JUDGMENT, SET ASIDE WRIT OF POSSESSION: UNDER FRAUD UPON COURT, PROOF OF EVIDENCE: PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 473(b); POINTS AND AUTHORITIES: ANNEXED AT HEARING BY SWORN JURAT

Page 15 of